54



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,118		09/19/2001	Masafumi Katsutani	1248-0554P	9651	
2292	7590	03/22/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH				WU, XIAO MIN		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2674		
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• 1							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astinu Occurrence	09/955,118	KATSUTANI, MASAFUMI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	XIAO M. WU	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 L	December 2003.						
	s action is non-final.						
	·						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 09/955,118

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 8 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 8 recites the limitations of "the second changeover signal", in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claim 10 recites the limitations of "the first changeover circuit", in line 5; "the second changeover signal", in line 13; "the second changeover circuit" in lines 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 11 recites the limitations of "the second changeover signal", in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 12 recites the limitations of "the second changeover signal", in lines 6-7; "the second changeover circuit" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 13 recites the limitations of "the second changeover signal", in line 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 14 recites the limitations of "the second changeover signal", in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,118 Page 3

Art Unit: 2674

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goto et al. (US patent No. 6,388,653).

As to claims 7 and 9, Goto discloses a driving method of liquid crystal display apparatus in which noninverted and inverted input signals of first and second amplifier circuits (271, 272, Fig. 18) are switched in accordance with a changeover signal (e.g. A, B phase control signals as shown in Fig. 18) and output signals of the first and second amplifier circuits are switched and outputted to pixels provided in a matrix manner in accordance with an alternation signal (e.g. output control signal to the switch 264, see Fig. 18), comprising: controlling (control circuit 152, Fig. 18) the changeover signal and the alternation signal so that polarity of each offset voltage to be applied to the pixel is changed for every predetermined number of frames and so that the offset voltage is cancelled by frames whose number is twice as many as the predetermined number of frames. For example, the offset voltages (Vofl and Vofh) as shown in Figs. 19-20 are canceled by 4 frames whose number is twice as many as the predetermined two frames.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 1-6 allowed.

Art Unit: 2674

12. Claims 8 and 10-14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Goto does not disclose a first and a second changeover circuits and a changeover control circuit that controls switching of the first and second changeover circuits. This argument is not persuasive because claims 7 and 9 do not required the limitation as argued by applicant.

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The US Patents 5,093,655, 5,233,340, 5,365,284,6,166,725 and 6,331,846 are cited to teach a LCD display device.
- 15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2674

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiao Wu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4721.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377

xw

March 21, 2004

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2674

Wi Wh